## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ) CASE NO. CR23-178-RAJ Plaintiff, Seattle, Washington ٧. ) February 23, 2024 ) 11:04 a.m. BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED, Defendant. ) Sentencing )

> VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiff: ELIZABETH CARR

KEVIN GERARD MOSLEY

U.S. Department of Justice

Criminal Division

1400 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530

MICHAEL DION

JONAS BLOMBERG LERMAN U.S. Attorney's Office

700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

Seattle, WA 98101-1271

For the Defendant: JEFFREY B. COOPERSMITH

Corr Cronin LLP

1015 Second Avenue, 10th Floor

Seattle, WA 98104

MICHAEL KENDALL DAY STEPHANIE L. BROOKER Gibson Dunn & Crutcher 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036-5303

(Cont'd:)

For the Defendant: POONAM G. KUMAR

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

2

General Counsel

(Binance): ELEANOR HUGHES

Deputy General

Counsel (Binance): JOSHUA EATON

Reported by: MARCI E.C. CHATELAIN, CCR, RMR, CRR

Federal Court Reporter

700 Stewart Street, Suite 17205

Seattle, WA 98101

marci chatelain@wawd.uscourts.gov

	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	
	3	THE CLERK: Please rise.
	4	The United States District Court for the Western District
11:01:56	5	of Washington is now in session, the Honorable Richard A. Jones
	6	presiding.
	7	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
	8	THE CLERK: We are here for sentencing in the matter
	9	of the United States versus Binance Holdings Limited, d/b/a
11:04:30	10	Binance.com, cause number CR23-178, assigned to this Court.
	11	If counsel could please rise and make your appearances for
	12	the record.
	13	MR. DION: Michael Dion for the United States. Good
	14	morning, Your Honor.
11:04:43	15	THE COURT: Good morning.
	16	MR. MOSLEY: Kevin Mosley for the United States. Good
	17	morning, Your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: Good morning.
	19	MS. CARR: Elizabeth Carr for the United States. Good
11:04:50	20	morning, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: Good morning.
	22	MR. LERMAN: And Jonas Lerman for the United States.
	23	Good morning.
	24	THE COURT: Good morning.
11:04:55	25	Who will be speaking on behalf of the government?

	1	MR. MOSLEY: Primarily, I will, Your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
	3	MS. BROOKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephanie
	4	Brooker for Binance Holdings Limited of Gibson Dunn. And I'm
11:05:07	5	joined at counsel table by colleagues from Gibson Dunn, Kendall
	6	Day
	7	MR. DAY: Good morning, Your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Good morning.
	9	MS. BROOKER: and Poonam Kumar.
11:05:14	10	MS. KUMAR: Good morning, Your Honor.
	11	THE COURT: Good morning.
	12	MS. BROOKER: And Jeff Coopersmith, our local counsel
	13	from Corr Cronin.
	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: Good morning, Your Honor.
11:05:18	15	THE COURT: Good morning.
	16	MS. BROOKER: Your Honor, we're also joined at counsel
	17	table by Eleanor Hughes, who is the general counsel of Binance.
	18	MS. HUGHES: Good morning, Your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: Good morning.
11:05:29	20	MS. BROOKER: And also, Your Honor, Josh Eaton, who is
	21	the deputy general counsel of Binance, and the authorized
	22	corporate representative for these proceedings.
	23	MR. EATON: Good morning, Your Honor.
	24	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
11:05:39	25	Thank you.

1 We'll begin these proceedings with the Court, first of all, 2 identifying all the documents that I've received and reviewed. 3 These documents include the following: The notice of the parties in advance of sentencing, dated 4 5 December 11, 2023; the stipulated motion and order of forfeiture 11:05:51 6 dated February 9, 2024; the government's sentencing memorandum; 7 the defendant's sentencing memorandum; and the Plea Agreement 8 dated November 21, 2023. 9 Counsel for the government, are you aware of any additional documents that I did not state for the record? 10 11:06:11 11 MR. MOSLEY: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense, are you aware of 13 any additional documents? 14 MS. BROOKER: No. Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank vou. 11:06:20 16 I trust that the parties are aware that the Court's 17 understanding is there's no presentence report that was prepared 18 for this portion of the case. With that, the Court will engage in its analysis of the 19 20 guideline calculations. 11:06:33 21 The Court is using sentencing guidelines and 18 U.S.C. 22 Section 3571(d) for these calculations. As outlined in the Plea 23 Agreement, paragraph 13 specifically, the parties agree based on 24 the application of the guidelines that the following provisions 25 with respect to sentence of a fine apply to this case. 11:06:54

So we begin first with a base fine of \$1,612,031,763 under United States Sentencing Guideline 8C2.4(a)(2), the pecuniary gain from the defendant to the defendant for the offense.

Next, a culpability score of seven points is based on guideline 8C2.5, calculated as follows: The base culpability of

Next, a four-point addition because the organization had more than 1,000 employees, and an individual with high-level personnel participated in, condoned, or was willfully ignorant of the offense pursuant to guideline Section 8C2.5(b)(2)(A)(i); a two-point reduction for cooperation and acceptance of responsibility.

five points pursuant to guideline 8C2.5(a).

There's also calculation of fine range pursuant to guideline Section 8C2.6; therefore, a base fine of \$1,612,031,763. The multiplier of 1.4, the minimum, 2.8 maximum, the fine range being \$2,256,844,468 to \$4,503,688,936.

Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, specifically paragraph 14, the parties agree that a fine at the bottom of the applicable sentencing guideline range, with the 20 percent discount to reflect the defendant's partial cooperation and remediation, is appropriate.

Counsel for the government, how do you wish to respond to the Court's calculations?

MR. MOSLEY: I think that is accurate, Your Honor.

I think the fine range is -- was \$2,256,844,468 to

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

11:07:50

11

13

12

14

16

11:08:12

17

15

18 19

11:08:52 20

21

22

23

24

11:09:07 25

Your Honor is aware of the numerous and voluminous documents filed in this case. The resolution that the parties have agreed upon here is the result of a very long and extensive investigation, extensive fact finding that is contained within a very detailed recitation and statement of facts to which both the government and the defendant have agreed.

The sentence that has been agreed upon by the parties reflects that investigation, the intensive fact finding that has resulted from that, and the detailed statement of facts. The sentence that the parties have agreed on -- I mean, has also contained allowances for and consideration of the defendant's remediation and cooperation in this case, and also reflects the nature and seriousness of the offense.

And based on all that and the papers that have already been filed by the government and the defense, we believe that the agreed-upon sentence of the combined financial penalty of more than \$4.3 billion and the other obligations imposed under the Plea Agreement, which includes numerous undertakings and agreements to cooperate and remediate with -- cooperate with law enforcement and remediate their program, is sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve the goals of sentencing as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a), and as a result, the United States believes that the Court should impose the agreed-upon sentence upon Binance.

THE COURT: And to your knowledge, Counsel, since the

11:10:48

11:11:09

11:11:30

11:11:48 20

11:12:03 25

		<del>-</del>
	1	agreement was reached, have you seen the actual undertaking of
	2	the remediation representations that were made by counsel in the
	3	settlement?
	4	MR. MOSLEY: Your Honor, we believe that the company
11:12:18	5	has been engaging in remediation and cooperation as set forth in
	6	the Plea Agreement between the parties.
	7	THE COURT: All right. Anything further, Counsel?
	8	MR. MOSLEY: Nothing from us, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11:12:31	10	You indicated, Counsel, you're representing at least to
	11	represent the government for a portion of the argument. Is
	12	there someone else who wishes to speak on behalf of the
	13	government, or does that complete the argument made on behalf of
	14	the recommendation?
11:12:43	15	MR. MOSLEY: With respect to our recommendation, that
	16	is complete, Your Honor; however, should the Court have any
	17	other questions to ask us, we are obviously here to answer
	18	those. And potentially, Ms. Carr might rise to answer some of
	19	those questions, depending on what they are.
11:12:56	20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Counsel.
	21	I have no additional questions of counsel for the
	22	government.
	23	I'll hear from counsel for the defense.
	24	MR. DAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
11:13:09	25	Like the government, I think we can largely rest on the

1 papers. We believe that the sentence here is fair and 2 appropriate, and we would ask the Court to accept the Plea 3 Agreement. In particular, we would commend to the Court's attention 4 5 the substantial compliance enhancements that are recognized in 11:13:22 6 the Plea Agreement, starting at paragraph 8; compliance 7 enhancements that began in 2019. And as you and counsel for the 8 government just discussed, compliance enhancements that 9 continue, and commitments that continue, through today. 10 So based on all of those things contained within the 11:13:40 voluminous record, we do think this sentence is appropriate and 11 12 we would ask the Court to impose it. 13 THE COURT: And, Counsel, you are aware that there are 14 conditions of supervision that the Court has -- will exercise. 15 Have you reviewed those with the representatives for your 11:13:56 16 client? 17 So we have tendered together with the 18 government a proposed Judgment in this case. There are 19 conditions contained within the Plea Agreement. The Judgment in 20 this case, though, Your Honor, I do not believe contains 11:14:10 21 additional conditions beyond what is contained in the Plea 22 Agreement. 23 THE COURT: And have you reviewed those conditions 24 with your client? 25 MR. DAY: Yes, the ones contained in the Plea 11:14:19

leadership and direction of our former CEO.

We wish to thank the government for recognizing many of those enhancements in its papers filed with this Court. And we also wish to thank you, Your Honor, and your courtroom deputy

And if you have any questions for me, I am here to answer them.

and your courtroom staff for the time you have taken to address

THE COURT: I have no additional, specific questions, sir.

MR. EATON: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything further from the defense at this time?

MR. DAY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. With that, the Court will -first, I'm required to make an appropriate guideline range
calculation, and I've undertaken that. And I'm also looking at
any traditional departures or variances that might be applicable
in view of the facts and circumstances, and I've done that as
well.

In fashioning the sentence I will impose, I have the responsibility of looking at and considering all of the Section 3553(a) factors. And I will go through those factors that serve as a basis for the ultimate sentence that I will impose.

So I begin, first, with the history and characteristics of

11:15:44 15

16

17

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

this matter.

11:15:29

11:15:38

18 19

11:16:06 20

22

21

23

24

11:16:17 25

the defendant.

The defendant operated as a money transaction business and a money services business doing business wholly or in substantial part in the United States, servicing a substantial number of U.S. customers in an effort to process billions of dollars.

The Court looks at the nature and circumstances of the offense. And essentially, it is an offense involving failure to comply with U.S. laws and regulations of the U.S. financial system: Specifically, the failure to implement anti-money-laundering programs that protect the institution; failure to regulate as an MSB; willful failure to implement effective programs; and creating vulnerability in the United States system.

Next, the Court needs to look at the offense as to whether or not it's a seriousness -- as to the seriousness of the offense. The government characterizes this as "epic proportions," and the Court has to agree, in terms of the largesse and size of what has been undertaken.

Court finds that defendant Binance senior leaders, including Defendant Zhao, knew that serving U.S. customers required Binance to follow United States laws.

Despite this knowledge, the defendant made calculated decisions not to follow the United States laws or comply with United States regulations. And it appears to this Court from

11:16:33

11:16:51 10

11:17:08 15

11:17:25 20

11:17:46 25

the pleadings that have been filed and submitted that this was undertaken specifically for cost, to avoid payment, and to avoid compliance with United States regulations.

Next, the Court needs to impose a sentence so that the defendant has greater respect for the United States laws, as evidenced by the pure disregard for compliance.

Aggravating is the fact that Binance hired a consultant and ignored mitigation exposure and chose to violate law versus full compliance with United States regulations and laws.

Next, the Court needs to impose a sentence that reflects adequate deterrence to the criminal conduct. The sentence the Court will impose is imposed for two different reasons, specific deterrence, so the defendant Binance understands that they cannot continue to engage or in the future engage in this type of conduct, and general deterrence so that any other like organizations, wherever they may be located, must comply with United States laws and regulations without variance.

Court also needs to impose a sentence to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, and also needs to impose a sentence to avoid sentencing disparity.

Now, the Court's unaware of any specific disparity based upon the agreement that's reached between the United States and the defendant.

I note the government has outlined several circumstances where other entities have engaged in similar or like conduct,

11:18:04

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11:18:25 10

12

11

13 14

11:18:43 15

16

17

18

19

11:19:00 20

21

22

23

25

24

11:19:14

but I'm satisfied that the sentence that this Court will impose will not be so disparate or disproportionate to any other entity previously sentenced under similar circumstances.

For these reasons, the Court will first find that the sentence is imposed due to the company's deliberate and calculated effort to profit from the United States market without complying with the United States laws.

Next, the Court recognizes that this really is a case where the ethics of the company were compromised by greed and a desire to avoid United States regulations when an opportunity was clearly presented to them. So this isn't a question of ignorance or lack of knowledge, it was a question of volition and choice.

There have been financial penalties that have been agreed to between the parties, and the Court finds that there's no reason to vary from the recommendations made to this Court. And I will abide by what has been presented to this Court.

So in that regard, the Court will follow the terms of probation as recommended, the three years, it effects full compliance. I've already confirmed with the counsel that they've conferred with the client, so the client is fully aware of all the conditions. They have no objections or questions and fully understand the extent and scope.

The Court will also impose a mandatory special assessment in the amount of \$1,200, which is due. And the Court will also

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

8

11:19:57 10

12

11

13

14

11:20:13 15

16

17

18

19

11:20:32 20

21

22

23

25

1 impose a criminal fine of \$1,805,475,575, a forfeiture of 2 \$2,510,650,588. And I believe that's the extent of the 3 financial obligations and penalties. Counsel, do you have a Judgment to present to the Court? 4 5 MR. LERMAN: We do, Your Honor. 11:21:12 6 THE COURT: And before that's being presented, I wish 7 to give the defendants their rights on appeal. 8 As your rights on appeal, it's my understanding that under 9 paragraph 39 of the Plea Agreement, you waived your rights on 10 appeal, and any rights you had on appeal are exactly as stated 11:21:23 11 in that document. 12 You may appeal the sentence if you wish to do so, and it's 13 very important that you tell your lawyers that's exactly what 14 you wish to do. Your lawyers can explain to you any issues that 15 are appealable and any issues that might survive. 11:21:37 16 In addition, you also have the right to challenge your 17 lawyers' effectiveness, if you believe that that's appropriate. 18 That's a decision that you must make independent and with the 19 consultation of your individuals in the decision-making 20 capacity. 11:21:54 21 If you wish to appeal the sentence and you cannot afford

the filing fee for the Court of Appeals, you can ask me to waive it. I don't think that's a real issue with the nature of the fine being imposed, but, nonetheless, the Court needs to advise you that you do have the right to appeal without cost to you.

22

23

24

25

11:22:06

Counsel for the government?

25

11:23:29